

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
Seron Douglas, Gilbert Augustin, Jr., and
Christian Adams, *on behalf of themselves and
others similarly situated in the proposed FLSA
Collective Action,*

Plaintiffs,

- against -

East Coast Moving Inc., Extreme Van Line Inc.,
and Edna Seltzer,

Defendants.
-----X

Case No.:

Jury Trial Demanded

**SECOND AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs Seron Douglas (“Douglas”), Gilbert Augustin, Jr. (“Augustin”) and Christian Adams (“Adams”, and collectively with Douglas and Augustin, the “Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, by and through their attorneys, Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C., upon personal knowledge as to themselves and upon information and belief as to others, bring this second amended complaint against Defendants East Coast Moving Inc., Extreme Van Line Inc. (together, the “Corporate Defendants”), and Edna Seltzer (the “Individual Defendant”, and with the Corporate Defendants, the “Defendants”) and state as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit seeking recovery, for themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, against Defendants’ violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* (“FLSA”), and violations of Articles 6 and 19 of the New York State Labor Law (“NYLL”) and their supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

2. Plaintiffs seek injunctive and declaratory relief and to recover unpaid minimum wages, overtime wages, unpaid spread-of-hours, unlawfully deducted wages, liquidated and

statutory damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the FLSA, NYLL, and the NYLL's Wage Theft Prevention Act ("WTPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1337, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the NYLL pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

4. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because their claims arise under the FLSA.

5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because all events relevant to this action occurred in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

THE PARTIES

PLAINTIFF SERON DOUGLAS

6. Plaintiff Douglas is a resident of Bronx, New York.

7. Plaintiff Douglas was employed as a mover and manual laborer at Defendants' moving company from on or around May 18, 2021 through and including August 31, 2021

8. Plaintiff Douglas was employed as a non-managerial employee at Defendants' moving company from on or around May 18, 2021 through and including August 31, 2021.

9. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Douglas has been an employee within the meaning of Section 3(e) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

10. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Douglas has been a manual worker within the meaning of NYLL § 191(1)(a).

PLAINTIFF GILBERT AUGUSTIN, JR.

11. Plaintiff Augustin is a resident of Queens, New York.

12. Plaintiff Augustin was employed as a driver and manual laborer at Defendants' moving company from on or around May 2021 through and including August 20, 2021.

13. Plaintiff Augustin was employed as a non-managerial employee at Defendants' moving company from on or around May 2021 through and including August 20, 2021.

14. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Augustin has been an employee within the meaning of Section 3(e) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

15. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Augustin has been a manual worker within the meaning of NYLL § 191(1)(a).

PLAINTIFF CHRISTIAN ADAMS

16. Plaintiff Adams is a resident of Queens, New York.

17. Plaintiff Adams was employed as a mover and manual laborer at Defendants' moving company from on or around May 2021 through and including August 6, 2021

18. Plaintiff Adams was employed as a non-managerial employee at Defendants' moving company from on or around May 2021 through and including August 6, 2021.

19. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Adams has been an employee within the meaning of Section 3(e) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

20. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Adams has been a manual worker within the meaning of NYLL § 191(1)(a).

DEFENDANT EAST COAST MOVING INC.

21. Upon information and belief, Defendant East Coast Moving Inc. is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information

and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 107-27 180th Street, Queens, NY 11433, and alternate business addresses at 53-35 194th Street, Fresh Meadows, New York, 11365 and 7745 164 Street, Fresh Meadows, New York 11366.

22. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant East Coast Moving Inc.: (i) has had and continues to have employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods and services for commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and (ii) has had and continues to have an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

23. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant East Coast Moving Inc. was and is a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and, at all times relevant to this Complaint, employed employees, including Plaintiffs.

24. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant East Coast Moving Inc. was and is an employer within the meaning of the 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and NYLL Section 190(3), and employed employees, including Plaintiffs.

DEFENDANT EXTREME VAN LINE INC.

25. Upon information and belief, Defendant Extreme Van Line Inc. is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 107-27 180th Street, Queens, NY 11433, and alternate business addresses at 53-35 194th Street, Fresh Meadows, New York, 11365 and 7745 164 Street, Fresh Meadows, New York 11366.

26. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Extreme Van Line Inc.: (i) has had and continues to have employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods and services for commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that

have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and (ii) has had and continues to have an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

27. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Extreme Van Line Inc. was and is a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and, at all times relevant to this Complaint, employed employees, including Plaintiffs.

28. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Extreme Van Line Inc. was and is an employer within the meaning of the 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and NYLL Section 190(3), and employed employees, including Plaintiffs.

DEFENDANT EDNA SELTZER

29. Defendant Edna Seltzer is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business within this judicial district during the relevant time period.

30. Defendant Edna Seltzer is sued individually and in her capacity as an owner, officer and/or agent of the Corporate Defendants.

31. Defendant Edna Seltzer possesses or possessed operational control over the Corporate Defendants, or controlled significant functions of the Corporate Defendants.

32. Defendant Edna Seltzer determined the wages and compensation of employees, including Plaintiffs, established the schedules of employees, maintained employee records, and had the authority to hire and fire employees.

33. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Edna Seltzer was and is an employer within the meaning of the 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and NYLL Section 190(3), and employed employees, including Plaintiffs.

DEFENDANTS CONSTITUTE JOINT EMPLOYERS

34. Defendants own, operate and/or control a moving company known as “East Coast

Moving” located at 107-27 180th Street, Queens, NY 11433.

35. Defendants’ moving company “provides [] state to state and coast to coast moving services[,] [and] specialize[s] in [l]ong [d]istance [m]oves, especially up and down the East Coast.” See <https://www.ecoastmoving.com/long-distance-moving>

36. The Individual Defendant possesses operational control over the Corporate Defendants, possesses an ownership interest in the Corporate Defendants, and controls significant functions of the Corporate Defendants.

37. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.

38. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiffs’ (and other similarly situated employees’) working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiffs, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.

39. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiffs, and all similarly situated individuals, and are Plaintiffs’ (and all similarly situated individuals’) employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.

40. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiffs and/or similarly situated individuals.

41. Upon information and belief, the Individual Defendant operates the Corporate Defendants as either an alter ego of herself, and/or fails to operate the Corporate Defendants as entities legally separate and apart from herself, by, among other things:

a. failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate the Corporate

Defendants as separate and legally distinct entities;

- b. defectively forming or maintaining the Corporate Defendants, by among other things failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records;
- c. transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants;
- d. operating the Corporate Defendants for her own benefit as the majority shareholders;
- e. operating the Corporate Defendants for her own benefit and maintaining control over them as closed corporations or closely controlled entities;
- f. intermingling assets and debts of their own with the Corporate Defendants;
- g. diminishing and/or transferring assets of the Corporate Defendants to protect her own interests; and
- h. other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.

42. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiffs' employers within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

43. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiffs, control the terms and conditions of employment, and determine the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiffs' services.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Factual Allegations Pertaining Specifically to Seron Douglas

44. Plaintiff Douglas was an employee of Defendants.

45. Plaintiff Douglas worked as a mover and manual laborer at the moving company known as "East Coast Moving" located at 107-27 180th Street, Queens, NY 11433.

46. At Defendants' moving company, Plaintiff Douglas regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

47. From approximately May 18, 2021 through and including August 31, 2021, Plaintiff Douglas worked three (3) to five (5) days a week: 6:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., fourteen (14) hours each day, for a total period of approximately forty-two (42) to seventy (70) hours during each of the weeks, respectively.

48. Plaintiff Douglas was often required to travel to perform long distance moves. In these instances, Plaintiff Douglas worked from 6:00 a.m. to as late as 6:00 a.m., twenty (24) hours each day.

49. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Douglas was paid his wages in cash, or through Zelle, a peer-to-peer digital money transfer service.

50. From approximately May 18, 2021 through and including August 31, 2021, Defendants paid Plaintiff Douglas \$17 per hour.

51. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Douglas for all hours worked.

52. Specifically, Defendants only paid Plaintiff Douglas for the time spent performing moves at job sites.

53. Plaintiff Douglas was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device, such as sign in sheets or punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked, including time spent performing moves at job sites.

54. As a result, Defendants consistently underpaid Plaintiff Douglas.

55. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Douglas with meal breaks or rest periods of any length.

56. On or around August 30, 2021, Plaintiff Douglas was admitted to a hospital for

kidney failure, as a result of dehydration, after working a grueling twenty-four (24) hour shift, without any breaks.

57. On August 31, 2021, Defendants terminated Plaintiff Douglas' employment, after being informed that he was hospitalized for kidney failure, and needed to take time off work to recover.

Factual Allegations Pertaining Specifically to Gerard Augustin, Jr.

58. Plaintiff Augustin was an employee of Defendants.

59. Plaintiff Augustin worked as a driver and manual laborer at the moving company known as "East Coast Moving" located at 107-27 180th Street, Queens, NY 11433.

60. At Defendants' moving company, Plaintiff Augustin regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

61. From approximately May 2021 through and including August 20, 2021, Plaintiff Augustin worked six (6) to seven (7) days a week: 6:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., fourteen (14) hours each day, for a total period of approximately eighty-four (84) to ninety-eight (98) hours during each of the weeks, respectively.

62. Plaintiff Augustin was often required to travel to perform long distance moves. In these instances, Plaintiff Augustin worked from 6:00 a.m. to as late as 6:00 a.m., twenty (24) hours each day.

63. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Augustin was paid his wages in cash, or through Zelle, a peer-to-peer digital money transfer service.

64. From approximately May 2021 through and including August 2, 2021, Defendants paid Plaintiff Augustin \$20 per hour.

65. From approximately August 2, 2021 through and including August 20, 2021,

Defendants paid Plaintiff Augustin at a rate of \$250 per day.

66. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Augustin for all hours worked.

67. Specifically, Defendants only paid Plaintiff Augustin for the time spent performing moves at job sites.

68. Plaintiff Augustin was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device, such as sign in sheets or punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked, including time spent performing moves at job sites.

69. As a result, Defendants consistently underpaid Plaintiff Augustin.

70. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Augustin with meal breaks or rest periods of any length.

Factual Allegations Pertaining Specifically to Christian Adams

71. Plaintiff Adams was an employee of Defendants.

72. Plaintiff Adams worked as a mover and manual laborer at the moving company known as “East Coast Moving” located at 107-27 180th Street, Queens, NY 11433.

73. At Defendants’ moving company, Plaintiff Adams regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

74. From approximately May 1, 2021 through and including May 31, 2021, Plaintiff Adams worked six (6) to seven (7) days a week: 6:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., fourteen (14) hours each day, for a total period of approximately eighty-four (84) to ninety-eight (98) hours during each of the weeks, respectively.

75. From approximately June 1, 2021 through and including August 6, 2021, Plaintiff Adams worked five (5) to six (6) days a week: 6:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., fourteen (14) hours each day, for a total period of approximately seventy (70) to eighty-four (84) hours during each of the

weeks, respectively.

76. Plaintiff Adams was often required to travel to perform long distance moves. In these instances, Plaintiff Adams worked from 6:00 a.m. to as late as 6:00 a.m., twenty (24) hours each day.

77. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Adams was paid his wages in cash, or through Zelle, a peer-to-peer digital money transfer service.

78. From approximately May 1, 2021 through and including May 4, 2021, Defendants paid Plaintiff Adams \$15 per hour.

79. From approximately May 5, 2021 through and including May 11, 2021, Defendants paid Plaintiff Adams \$17 per hour.

80. From approximately May 12, 2021 through and including August 6, 2021, Defendants paid Plaintiff Adams \$15 per hour.

81. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Adams for all hours worked.

82. Specifically, Defendants only paid Plaintiff Adams for the time spent performing moves at job sites.

83. Plaintiff Adams was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device, such as sign in sheets or punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked, including time spent performing moves at job sites.

84. As a result, Defendants consistently underpaid Plaintiff Adams.

85. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Adams with meal breaks or rest periods of any length.

Factual Allegations Pertaining to Plaintiffs

86. Plaintiffs and other similarly situated individuals are individuals who have worked

for Defendants in similarly-titled, hourly paid position, during the statutory period.

87. Plaintiffs and other similarly situated individuals all shared similar job titles, training, job descriptions and job tasks, during the statutory period.

88. Plaintiffs regularly worked for the Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours a week but never received an overtime premium of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for those hours.

89. Plaintiffs' wages did not vary regardless of how many additional hours they worked in a week.

90. At all relevant times, Defendants did not compensate Plaintiffs for one hour's pay at the basic minimum hourly wage rate for each day their shift exceeded ten (10) hours.

91. Defendants never granted Plaintiffs with meal breaks or rest periods of any length.

92. Plaintiffs were not required to keep track of his time, nor to their knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device, such as sign in sheets or punch cards, that accurately reflected their actual hours worked.

93. No notification, either in the form of posted notices, or other means, was ever given to Plaintiffs regarding wages are required under the FLSA or NYLL.

94. Defendants did not provide Plaintiffs a statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

95. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiffs, in English (Plaintiffs' primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL § 195(1).

96. At all relevant times, Defendants did not pay Plaintiffs at the rate of one and one-half times their hourly wage rate for hours worked in excess of forty per workweek.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

97. Plaintiffs brings the First, Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Claims for Relief as a collective action pursuant to FLSA §16(b), 29 U.S.C. §216(b), on behalf of all non-exempt persons (including but not limited to drivers, movers, and manual laborers) employed by Defendants on or after the date that is six years before filing of the Complaint in this case, as defined herein (“FLSA Collective Plaintiffs”).

98. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and are and have been subject to Defendants’ decision, policy, plan and common policies, programs, practices, procedures, protocols, routines and rules willfully failing and refusing to pay them at the legally required overtime wage for all hours worked in excess of forty hours per work week. These claims of the Plaintiffs are essentially the same as those of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

99. The First, Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Claims for Relief are properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to under FLSA §16(b), 29 U.S.C. §216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purpose of notice and others related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from the Defendants. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail to the last address known to Defendants

100. Plaintiffs reserves the right to re-define the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs prior to notice or collective certification, and thereafter, as necessary.

FIRST CLAIM (FLSA – Unpaid Minimum Wages, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*)

101. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

102. Defendants willfully and intentionally failed to compensate the Plaintiff with the applicable minimum hourly wage in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S. Code § 206.

103. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to compensation of Plaintiffs.

104. Due to Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid minimum wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, pursuant to the FLSA, all in an amount to be determined at trial. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CLAIM
(FLSA – Unpaid Overtime Wages, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*)

105. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

106. At all relevant times to this action, Plaintiffs are covered, non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA.

107. Defendants were required to pay Plaintiffs one and one-half (1 1/2) times the regular rate at which Plaintiffs were employed for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek pursuant to the overtime wage provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, *et seq.*

108. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs the overtime wages to which they are entitled under the FLSA.

109. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages.

110. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiffs, on behalf of

themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and cost of the action and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

THIRD CLAIM
(NYLL – Unpaid Minimum Wages, N.Y. Stat. § 650 *et seq.*)

111. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

112. Defendants willfully and intentionally failed to compensate the Plaintiffs with the applicable minimum hourly wage in violation of the NYLL §650 *et seq.*

113. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the NYLL with respect to compensation of Plaintiffs.

114. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid minimum wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to the NYLL § 663.

FOURTH CLAIM
(NYLL – Unpaid Overtime Wages)

115. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

116. Plaintiffs are covered, non-exempt employees within the meaning of the NYLL and supporting New York Department of Labor ("NYDOL") Regulations.

117. Under the NYLL and supporting NYDOL Regulations, Defendants are required to pay Plaintiffs one and one half times the regular rate of pay, which shall not be less than the

minimum wage, for all hours they worked in excess of forty.

118. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs the overtime wages to which they are entitled under the NYLL.

119. Defendants willfully violated the NYLL by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages.

120. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and cost of the action and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

FIFTH CLAIM
(NYLL – Spread-of-Hours Pay)

121. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

122. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs additional compensation of one hour's pay at the basic minimum hourly wage rate for each day during which the Plaintiffs' shifts spread over more than ten (10) hours.

123. By Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs spread-of-hours pay, Defendants willfully violated §650 *et seq.* of the NYLL and violated the supporting NYDOL regulations, including, but not limited to, 12 N.Y. C.R.R. §146-1.6.

124. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover an amount prescribed by statute, liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and cost of the action and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

SIXTH CLAIM
(NYLL WTPA– Failure to Provide Wage Notices)

125. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth

herein.

126. The NYLL and the WTPA require employers to provide all employees with a written notice of wage rates at the time of hire.

127. In violation of NYLL §195 (1), Defendants failed to furnish to Plaintiffs at the time of hiring, or whenever their rate(s) of pay changed, with a wage notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other, allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL §191; the name of the employer; any “doing business as” names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer’s main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address, if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law.

128. Due to Defendants’ violations of NYLL §195 (1), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their liquidated damages, reasonable attorney’s fees and cost and disbursement of the action, pursuant to the NYLL §198 (1-b).

SEVENTH CLAIM
(Violation of the Wage Statement Provisions of the NYLL)

129. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

130. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a statement listing each of the following the dates of work covered by the payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; the number of regular hours worked; the number of overtime hours worked, as required by the NYLL § 195(3).

131. As a result of Defendant’s violation of the WTPA, Plaintiffs are entitled to

damages of at least \$150 per week during which the violations occurred.

EIGHTH CLAIM
(Failure to Pay Timely Wages)

132. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

133. NYLL § 191(1)(a) prohibits employers from paying manual workers at no less than on a weekly basis.

134. As described above, throughout their employment with Defendants, Plaintiffs were underpaid their wages each week.

135. As a result of Defendants' violation of NYLL § 191(1)(a), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and cost and disbursement of the action, pursuant to the NYLL §198 (1-a).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

- a. authorizing Plaintiffs at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the Court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have up through the extent allowable under the statute of limitations and including the date of issuance of court-supervised notice, been employed by Defendants as nonexempt employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied minimum wages and premium overtime wages;
- b. certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA;
- c. issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and

- appointing Plaintiffs and their counsel to represent the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs;
- d. declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of the FLSA, the NYLL and the NYDOL regulations;
- e. declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of the FLSA, the NYLL and the NYDOL regulations;
- f. declaring that Defendants violated the spread-of-hours pay provisions of the NYLL and NYDOL Regulations;
- g. declaring that Defendants violated the notice statement pay provisions of the NYLL and WTPA;
- h. awarding Plaintiffs unpaid minimum wages;
- i. awarding Plaintiffs unpaid overtime wages;
- j. awarding Plaintiffs unpaid spread-of-hours pay;
- k. awarding unpaid wages under New York State law for failure to pay timely wages;
- l. awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages in an amount equal to the total amount of wages found to be due;
- m. awarding unpaid wages under the NYLL and the New York State contract law;
- n. awarding Plaintiffs statutory damages as a result of Defendants' failure to furnish accurate wage notice pursuant to the NYLL;
- o. awarding Plaintiffs pre- and post-judgment interest under the NYLL;
- p. awarding Plaintiffs reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements of this action; and
- q. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
September 13, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein
Joshua Levin-Epstein
Jason Mizrahi
Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C.
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4700

New York, New York 10165

Tel: (212) 792-0046

Email: Joshua@levinepstein.com

*Attorneys for the Plaintiffs and proposed FLSA
Collection Action Plaintiffs*